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1 Introduction

MPBench is a benchmark to evaluate the performance of MPI on MPP’s and clusters of workstations. It uses a flexible and portable framework to allow benchmarking of any message passing layer with similar send and receive semantics. It generates two types of reports, consisting of the raw data files and Postscript graphs. No interpretation or analysis of the data is performed, it is left entirely up to the user.

2 How it works

MPBench currently tests eight different MPI calls. The following functions are measured. The default number of processes used can be set in make.def.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benchmark</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th># Processes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth</td>
<td>Megabytes/sec</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Roundtrip</td>
<td>Transactions/sec</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application Latency</td>
<td>Microseconds</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broadcast</td>
<td>Megabytes/sec</td>
<td>make.def value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduce</td>
<td>Megabytes/sec</td>
<td>make.def value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AllReduce</td>
<td>Megabytes/sec</td>
<td>make.def value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bidirectional Bandwidth</td>
<td>Megabytes/sec</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All-to-All</td>
<td>Megabytes/sec</td>
<td>make.def value</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All tests are timed in the following manner.

1. Set up the test.
2. Start the timer.
3. Loop of operations over the message size as a power of two and the iteration count.
4. Verify that those operations have completed.
5. Stop the timer.
6. Compute the appropriate metric.

By default, MPBench measures messages from 4 bytes to $2^{16}$ bytes, in powers of two for 100 iterations. Each test is run a single time before testing to allow for cache setup and routing. The cache is then flushed before each repetition and before each new message size is tested. The cache is not flushed however between iterations on the same message size, which are averaged.

In MPBench, we avoid calling the timer around every operation, because this often results in the faulty reporting of data. Some of these operations on MPP’s take so little time, that the accuracy and latency of accessing the system’s clock would significantly affect the reported data. Thus it is only appropriate that we perform our timing operations outside the loop. Some MPP’s and workstations have the capability to access the system’s timer registers, but this is not portable and would introduce unnecessary complexity into the code to compensate for situations where the timing routines were not efficient.

For simplicity purposes, we will refer to two different types of tasks in MPBench, the master of which there is only one, and the slaves of which their may be any number. The point-to-point tests only use two tasks, a master and a slave. The other tests run with any number of slaves, the default being sixteen.
MPBench averages performance over a number of iterations. The user should be aware that MPBench will use a lower number of iterations than the one specified for certain situations. This should not affect the accuracy of the results, as the iteration count is only changed when the message lengths are prohibitively large.

It should be noted that cachebench does not dictate the placement of slave tasks. This can cause the user to make false claims about the performance of distributed multiprocessors like the Origin 2000. MPBench measures point-to-point performance on MPI jobs with 2 tasks. For systems like the Origin, this means both tasks will be running on the same physical board and their communication performance will largely be dominated by the speed at which they can copy memory. The next version of MPBench will include the ability to measure processors that are logically farther away from the master.

### 2.1 Notes on MPI

There are many different send and receive calls in MPI each with different semantics for usage and completion. Here we focus on the default mode of sending. This means we are not using any nonblocking or immediate communication calls. Each MPI implementation handles the default mode a bit differently, but the algorithm is usually a derivative of the following.

```plaintext
send first chunk of message
if message is larger than size N
    wait for reply and destination address Y
    send rest of message directly to address Y
else
    if more to send
        send rest of message
endif
```

MPI does this to avoid unnecessary copies of the data, which usually dominates the cost of any communication layer. The receiving process will buffer a limited amount of data before informing the sender of the destination address in the application. This way, a large message is received directly into the application’s data structure rather than being held in temporary storage like with PVM. The problem with this is that for large messages, sends cannot complete before their corresponding receives. This introduces possibly synchronization and portability problems.
2.2 Bandwidth

MPBench measures bandwidth with a doubly nested loop. The outer loop varies the message size, and the inner loop measures the send operation over the iteration count. After the iteration count is reached, the slave process acknowledges the data it has received by sending a four byte message back to the master. This informs the sender when the slaves have completely finished receiving their data and are ready to proceed. This is necessary, because the send on the master may complete before the matching receive does on the slave. This exchange does introduce additional overhead, but given a large iteration count, its effect is minimal.

The master’s pseudo code for this test is as follows:

```plaintext
do over all message sizes
   start timer
   do over iteration count
      send(message size)
      recv(4)
   stop timer
```

The slaves’ pseudo code is as follows:

```plaintext
do over all message sizes
   start timer
   do over iteration count
      recv(message size)
      send(4)
   stop timer
```

2.3 Bidirectional Bandwidth

MPBench measures bidirectional bandwidth with a doubly nested loop. The outer loop varies the message size, and the inner loop measures the send operation over the iteration count. Both processes execute a non-blocking receive, then a non-blocking send, and then a wait for each iteration. The next iteration is prevented from proceeding until the previous one is finished by the MPI_Waitall() call, which will not allow execution to continue until both messages have been completed.

The code for this test is as follows:
do over all message sizes
start timer
do over iteration count
    immediate (nonblocking) receive(message size)
    immediate (nonblocking) send(message size)
    wait until messages on both ends have been received
stop timer

2.4 Roundtrip

Roundtrip times are measured in much the same way as bandwidth, except that, the slave process, after receiving the message, echoes it back to the master. This benchmark is often referred to as ping-pong. Here our metric is transactions per second, which is a common metric for database and server applications. No acknowledgment is needed with this test as it is implicit given its semantics.
The master's pseudo code for this test is as follows:

```plaintext
do over all message sizes
  start timer
  do over iteration count
    send(message size)
    recv(message size)
  stop timer
```

The slaves' pseudo code is as follows:

```plaintext
do over all message sizes
  start timer
  do over iteration count
    recv(message size)
    send(message size)
  stop timer
```

2.5 Application Latency

Application latency is something relatively unique to MPBench. This benchmark can properly be described as one that measures the time for an application to issue a send and continue computing. The results for this test vary greatly given how the message passing layer is implemented. For example, PVM will buffer all messages for transmission, regardless of whether or not the remote node is ready to receive the data. MPI on the other hand, will not buffer messages over a certain size, and thus will block until the remote process has executed some form of a receive. This benchmark is the same as bandwidth except that we do not acknowledge the data and we report our results in units of time.

The master's pseudo code for this test is as follows:

```plaintext
do over all message sizes
  start timer
  do over iteration count
    send(message size)
  stop timer
```

The slaves' pseudo code is as follows:
do over all message sizes
  start timer
  do over iteration count
    recv(message size)
  stop timer

2.6 Broadcast and Reduce

The two functions are also very heavily used in many parallel applications. Essentially these operations are mirror images of one another, the different being that reduce reverses the direction of communication and performs some computation with the data during intermediate steps. Both of these benchmarks return the number of megabytes per second computed from the iteration count and the length argument given to function call.

Here is the pseudo code for both the master and the slave:

do over all message sizes
  start timer
  do over iteration count
    reduce or broadcast(message size)
  stop timer

2.7 AllReduce

AllReduce is a derivative of an all-to-all communication, where every process has data for every other. While this operation could easily be implemented with a reduce followed by a broadcast, that would be highly inefficient for large message sizes. The PVM version of this test does this exactly, plus an additional barrier call. The goal of including this benchmark is to spot poor implementations so that the application engineer might be able to restructure his communication.

Here is the pseudo code for both the master and the slave:

do over all message sizes
  start timer
  do over iteration count
    allreduce(message size)
  stop timer
2.8 All-to-all

MPBench measures a kind of round-robin communication among multiple processes. The outer loop varies the message size, and the inner loop measures the send operation over the iteration count. Each process sends a message of the size of the total message size divided by the number of processes to every other process.

The code for this test is as follows:

do over all message sizes
    start timer
    do over iteration count
        all-to-all(message size)
    stop timer

3 Using MPBench

3.1 Obtain the Distribution

MPBench is now found in the LLCbench distribution. The latest release of LLCbench can always be found through the original author's homepage at

http://www.cs.utk.edu/~mucci

at its home page at

http://www.cs.utk.edu/~thurman/llcbench

or via FTP at


Now unpack the installation using gzip and tar.

kiwi> gzip -dc llcbench.tar.gz | tar xvf -
kiwi> cd llcbench
kiwi> ls
Makefile cachebench/ index.html mpbench/ sys.def@
blasbench/ conf/ make.def pix/
3.2 Build the Distribution

First we must configure the build for our machine, OS and MPI libraries. All configurations support the reference MPI if available. Before configuration `make` with no arguments lists the possible targets.

```
kiwi> make
Please use one of the following targets:

   solaris sunos5
   sun sunos4
   sgi-o2k o2k
   linux-mpich
   linux-lam
   alpha
   t3e
   ppc ibm-ppc
   pow2 ibm-pow2
   reconfig (to bring this menu up again)
```

Configure the build. Here, we are on a Solaris workstation.

```
kiwi> make solaris
ln -s conf/sys.solaris sys.def
```

MPBench’s default runtime variable values are contained in the file `make.def` and may be modified there. Also examine the `sys.def` file to ensure proper compiler flags and paths to the MPI libraries.

Now type `make` to get options for building a benchmark.

```
kiwi> make
Please use one of the following targets:

For all three : bench, run, graphs
For Blasbench : blas-bench, blas-run, blas-graphs
For Cachebench: cache-bench, cache-run, cache-graphs
```
For MPbench : mp-bench, mp-run mp-graphs

kiwi> make mp-bench
cd mpbench; make mpi_bench
cc -fast -I/src/icl/MPIL/mpi/include -DMPI -c mpbench.c -o mpbench.o
cc -fast mpbench.o -o mpi_bench -L/src/icl/MPIL/mpi/lib/solaris/ch_p4 -lmpi -lsocket -lmpich

3.3 Running MPBench

While MPBench can be run from the command line, it is designed to be run from via the Makefile. Running it via the makefile automates the collection and presentation process. By default, the makefile runs with the arguments -e 1 -i 100 -x 2 -m 16 and with 16 processes. This says that each size should be repeated only once, the iteration count should be set to 100, two measurements are taken between every problem size value that is a power of two, and the maximum problem size tested is 2^16 bytes. You can change the default settings by changing the variables in the make.def after you have configured the distribution.

When running MPI, sometimes it is required that you set up a hostfile containing the names of the hosts on which to run the processes. If your installation requires a hostfile, MPBench will tell you. If that happens, please check your mpirun man page for the format. The resulting datafiles for each of the runs will be left in mpbench/results/<OS>-<HOSTNAME>_<API>_<test>.dat.

kiwi> make mp-run
cd mpbench; make run
Latency test...
Roundtrip test...
Bandwidth test...
Bidirectional Bandwidth test...
Broadcast test...
Reduce test...
Allreduce test...
All-to-all test...

Datafiles are located in the mpbench/results directory.
Now we plot the results with GNUpot. If GNUpot is not available on your system, perform the following.

- Unpack the distribution on a machine that does.
- Copy your results files to the new machine in the MPBench directory.
- Execute make_graphs.sh with the common prefix of your datafiles.

Normally, we can make the graphs immediately.

```
kiwi> make mp-graphs
cd mpbench; make graphs
results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_latency.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_latency.ps.
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_roundtrip.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_roundtrip.ps.
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_bandwidth.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_bandwidth.ps.
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_bibandwidth.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_bibandwidth.ps.
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_alltoall.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_alltoall.ps.
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_broadcast.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_broadcast.ps.
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_reduce.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_reduce.ps.
Graphing results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_allreduce.dat...
Postscript graph is in results/SunOS-kiwi_mpi_allreduce.ps.
```

Graphs are located in the mpbench/results directory.

The graphs will be left in the results directory.
4 Usage

Usage: (MPI implementation dependent portion) mpi_bench -blracyz [-i #] [-x #] [-m #] [-
-b Do bandwidth benchmark
-d Do bidirectional bandwidth benchmark
-l Do latency benchmark
-r Do roundtrip benchmark
-a Do all-to-all benchmark
-c Do broadcast benchmark
-y Do reduce benchmark
-z Do allreduce benchmark
-i Specify the iterations over which to average.
-x Specify the number of measurements between powers of 2.
-m Specify the log2(available physical memory) to be used
   as the maximum message size.
-e Specify the repeat count per message size.
5 Results on the CEWES MSRC Machines

The following section contains the graphs of each of the following machines.

5.1 Latency

![Application Latency of MPI_Send at CEWES MSRC](image)

**Figure 1: Application Latency of Send**

In the figure 1 we see three interesting performance variations. First note the jump in latency of the T3E when the message is greater than 64 bytes. This is likely due to space allocated in the header exchanged between two processes. Many message passing systems allocate space in the header for a small payload so only one exchange is required. Next we note the jump in latency on the SP for messages larger than 4096 bytes. This is the point where IBM’s MPI switches to a rendezvous protocol. This is tunable from the command line for *poe* IBM’s version of *mpirun* with the `-eagerlimit` argument. It is also tunable with the `MP_EAGERLIMIT` environment variable. We recommend setting this to 16384 bytes for all runs. In fact, IBM does this when running parallel benchmarks. Lastly we note the falloff in performance at 8MB on the T3E. This is found throughout all our communication graphs and we are unable to explain it.
5.2 Roundtrip

![Graph: Roundtrip Time of MPI_Send at CEWES MSRC](image)

Figure 2: Roundtrip Time of Ping-Pong

For small messages, roundtrip time is largely dominated by protocol overheads and the means to access the network. Notice in figure 2 that while both the link speed and the clock speed for the T3E is higher than the Origin, the Origin still outperforms both machines quite significantly. An inversion takes place at 8K messages between the Origin and the T3E. We conclude that the Origin with its distributed shared memory hardware provides a very lightweight method of accessing remote memory. 8K is the page size of the Origin 2000, so it is not surprising that a penalty is paid after crossing that boundary. At larger messages, the raw link speed of the T3E clearly dominates, while the performance of the SP and the Origin falters.
5.3 Bandwidth

In figure 3, we note the dramatic effect of MPI's rendezvous protocol. As mentioned, the SP has a rather small limit of 4K, thus responsible for the falloff at larger message sizes. The Origin and the T3E both have an eager limit set to 16K, with only the Origin suffering a loss in performance at larger sizes. Also of interest is the effect that caching has on the Origin. As mentioned, these tests are repeated a number of times, so most of the data will lie in the Origin's large 4MB level two cache. Note that for larger sizes, its performance suffers severely.
5.4 Broadcast

For figure 4, we again note the dramatic drop-off found at the 8MB message size on the T3E. For the Origin, we also notice the effect of cache. The user should be aware that this test also includes the time for an acknowledge to be sent back to the master. Thus for 16 nodes, and assuming a binary tree distribution algorithm, we must wait for at least $1 \log_2(16)$ or 4 sends to complete before we receive our first acknowledgment.
5.5 Reduce

In figure 5 we see the effects of cache and shared page size on the Origin. For the SP, the dip at the 4K message size is again related to the rather small eager limit. It is clear that MPI_Reduce on the SP is implemented in terms of MPI_Send at a lower level. Performance of the T3E increases steadily and levels off around 20MB/sec. Notice the lack of a significant falloff at larger messages on the T3E. Also notice how poorly the T3E performs in relation to figure 4.
5.6 AllReduce

For figure 6, we again notice the dramatic effect caching has on the Origin with performance falling off around the 4MB mark. Comparing this graph with that of figure 5, we note that the SP2 and the T3E perform about twenty percent worse on Allreduce than on Reduce. The Origin performs more than thirty percent worse, which is perhaps an architectural problem related to network contention.
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